



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,768	07/01/2003	Stefan Thiem	37105.0051	2181

7590 05/18/2006

GEORGE L. SNYDER, JR
Hodgson Russ LLP
Suite 2000
One M & T Plaza
Buffalo, NY 14203-2391

EXAMINER

GORDON, BRIAN R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1743

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/611,768

Applicant(s)

THIEM, STEFAN

Examiner

Brian R. Gordon

Art Unit

1743

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7-1-03.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6-8 and 10-11 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date =. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Interpretations

1. As to the term "crank system", the claims do not specify what elements define a crank system. As such the examiner asserts the crank system is any element which provides for rotational movement.

As to claim 9, the automatic stainer is not positively claimed as an element of the drive system, however the gate is claimed as being fixed thereon. If the gate is fixed on the stainer, the stainer must be claimed in combination with the drive system.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the first and second crank systems coupled to the side wall, toothed belt, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear how a single wall is capable of carrying specimens. It appears as if the element which applicant references as a single wall, is actually an element made of two walls. As such referring to the combination of the two walls/panels as a single wall appears to be an improper characterization of the assembly. How does the element which applicant references as a wall carry the specimens.

The drawings illustrate the wall and crank systems in separate figures as such it is unclear how the assemblies are structurally related or combined to perform as claimed.

As to claim 9, it is unclear if the stainer is considered an element of the invention. The automatic stainer is not positively claimed as an element of the drive system,

however the gate is claimed as being fixed thereon. If the gate is fixed on the stainer, the stainer must be claimed in combination with the drive system. It is unclear how the gate can be required to be connected to an unclaimed element. How is the gate structurally related to the drive system?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kanamori et al US 5,356,595.

Kanamori et al disclose a blood analyzer system transports blood samples through at least one blood analyzer and an automatic blood smear generator under the control of a preprogrammable controller.

Referring to FIG. 7, supplier 88 of smear generator 60 supplies one slide 28 at a time from the top of a slide stack 92 to a slide conveyor 94. Slide 28 may be 76 mm X 26 mm X 0.91/2 mm in size and have a frosted area 96 on which an identifier may be printed.

With slide stack 92 in place, a stack lifter 100 lifts slide stack 92. Supplier 88 shifts to the right to push a topmost slide 28 onto an entry end 106 of a conveyor 94. Conveyor 94 steps slides 28 one after another to each stage of blood smear generation on an endless conveyor belt 108. Conveyor belt 108 has a plurality of protrusions 110

Art Unit: 1743

that define spaces 112 between them for transporting slides 28. A roller 114 (first and second crank systems), disposed at each end of conveyor 94, both supports and drives conveyor belt 108.

Referring now to FIG. 8, there is shown a view of conveyor 94 as seen in the direction of arrow A of FIG. 7. Roller 114 is rotatably supported by a shaft 116, axially attached to an end 122 of roller 114. A pulley 120 is affixed to the end of shaft 116. A timing belt 118 (transfer means) is driven discontinuously (by conventional means not shown- motor) to step conveyor belt 108 one slide space at a time. Disk shaped plates 124 (wall/panels) are axially supported by shaft 116 so that they support opposite edges of conveyor belt 108. Supporting plates 126, disposed on opposite sides along the entire length of conveyor belt 108, support ends of slides 28, as they are pushed along by protrusions 110.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

10. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanamori et al US 5,356,595.

Kanamori does not specify what type of belt is used within the transfer means.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize a toothed belt and wheel may be employed to ensure a more reliable transfer means is provided to avoid the slippage which may occur with a smooth belt.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 6-11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not teach nor fairly suggest the side wall (40) includes plurality of sloped guide tracks (24) into which the plurality of rollers (17) engage, respectively nor an angle sensor arranged to sense rotation of the shaft (20) of one of the first and second crank systems (12, 14).

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Edwards; Peter S. et al.; Carey; Glen et al.; Lewis; Scott C. et al.; Mochida; Ei; Mawhirt; James A. et al.; DeYoung; Thomas et al.; Meyer; James Rudolf et al.; DeYoung; Thomas et al.; Chiou, Chung-Fan et al.; and Kowallis; Reid Burton disclose various transport systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is 571-272-1258. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, with 2nd and 4th F off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'EPM' followed by a long horizontal stroke.

brg